Team Presentation Scorecard

150 POINTS

| Chapter | | | | State | | Team Nu | Team Number | | |
|---|------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| rcle One: Preliminaries Semifinals | -inals | | | | | | | | |
| Treminares Seminars | Possible Points | 25–21 points | 20-15 points | 14–10 points | 9–5 points | 4–0 points | | otal core | |
| ntroduction tatement of the issue Vhy issue is important | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Pro viewpoint dentification of positive points Points addressed are relevant | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Con viewpoint dentification of negative points Points addressed are relevant | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| summary of pro/con viewpoints | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Effectiveness of presentation Participation, creativity, quality and power, clear with right pace and word pronunciation, no distractions, appropriate gestures, poised | 20 | | | | | | | | |
| Questions Appropriate responses (nowledge of issue | 25 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Subtotal | | | |
| ortfolio Score | | | | | | | | | |
| Deduction for presenting a conclusion during the 15-minute presentation (-5 points) | | | | | | | (|) | |
| | | TOTAL | . POINTS E | ARNED O | UT OF 150 | POSSIBLE | | | |
| e or overuse of any technology or prop that esentation of the content can result in a low | | | content pr | ovided by ti | he team an | d team's | | | |
| Judge's Name | Judge's Signature Date | | | | | | | | |